That'l Investigations Commettee on aniel Phienomena.

(NICHP)

Washing ton De.

USA

MICAP MASSACHUSSTTS INVESTIGATING SUBCONSITTER

lassachusetts

SUBJECT: UPO Reports, H.E. Power Plant, Sales, Mass.

DATE: 15 March 1966

FROM: Raymond E. Fowlor (Chairman)

TO: NICAP 1536 Connecticut Avenue, N.J.

Washington, D.C.

CC: PROJECT BLUEBOOK (minus ref. letter)

Mew England Power Plant, Salem, Massachusetts during 1965. This report recently came to light during a lecture I gave in Salem. Report No. 1 involved the daylight sighting of a luminous dirigible-shaped UFO on May 22, 1965 by a minister's wife, Mrs. Wellie B. Robinson and Report No. 2 involved the night sighting of a cigar-shaped UFO on October 26, 1965 by James Centorino.

This location was also the site of the well-known U.S. Coast Guard UFO sighting and subsequent photo which took place on July 16, 1952. I hope soon to take steps to alert people in this area to report UFO activity to our Subcommittee immediately. There are a number of residences near the power station.

of particular interest is the enclosed letter from an appearent eye-witness of the July 16, 1952 UFO sighting who is a service representative of the Westinghouse Electric Corporation. He was supervising the erection of a new turbine generator in the yard of the power plant when the bright objects appeared and hovered overhead. Additional details may be forth-coming. I am sorry that his letter is such a long time coming. It got waylaid among the many pieces of paper-work in my study.

Mespectfully submitted,

Raymond A. Fowlar HIUAP Investigator

Waning on a D. C.

REPORT ON UNIVERSITY FLYING OF ECTION

This form includes guestions asies in the latter and father and experimental forces forces threatigation agrees. The social median questions to which answers are received for full unequation by MICAP.

what all the information has been fully enudied, the conclusion of our Evolvation to term will be justimed by the will in its enquery. issued mangazine or in consther publicultion. Please my to answer as many quastrone as public. Should you need and transfer our please use another sheet or paper. Please print or typowrite. Your assistance is of great value and it gangles is a conscipted. I'm his you,

Home Francis C. Burnham Salem, Mass, Talaphona P, 4-0488

Place of Employment Essex, Mass. receipm Contractor

Special Training #/cctronic
Milliony Sarvice 392

Date of Committee hor. 1965 on a Lunday

Time celout in- 7 PM wealing of Observation 60 ER NOW England Pauler Station Salem

The Bane / 5 5 /

from long old you san the intijest?

Haura 2- Miration Had Sociones

- means describe wouther conditions and the type of days i.e., bright deplicate, monthly days are. Alight, Lt. pain
- Position of the Sun or Moon in relation to the object and to you. Not Visible
- If men at night, buildight, or down, were the stars or organ visible? ANOT
- No Were more than one object? If so, plasma tall how many, due draw a skaral of which your case, indicating direction of movement, it any.
- Please describe the objectify in detail. For immore, did in (they) entry which a many we assure us delice much expedience. nie? Plausa use additional simple of present it recurrency becen only 2 faints of light
- Weather chieffel brighter than the Lackground of the city? Like
- It so, company the prightness with the Sun, Noon, hat dignes, cla-
- Did the object(s) ---

fringer ales rurs, it you on you densit to

- Appearur to month will be con time?
- buildonly species up and rush organy as one arms? The
- לישול בישים לו ביות מנות לו שו אניתו לו אניתו
- Charm all in the ?
- 170 KRY Withhile Hall? Mar
- no Drup stythings
- Change brightness? No.
- 110 Charge their ?
- Mo Carrys cone, ?
- Did that about the any time was intronted or intrinted about in 18 if no, phose motioners alone in his on the original in 13.
- or the same meeted? . They it was plant a great alless for and a read.
- and you conserve no objects) through an exprisal instrument of which sit, yield told, while items without, excurrent,
- I did in applicately bound was not not the

there will be the inforther as was - part on the I light which would have

- Fuzzy or church.
- tille a trigar ser.
- analyte purlimes.

sharply wattined.

Wines tie ga THEIR AMENT Old the objectly flow or full while in motion? Tail the exponent size of the objects) when compared with the following hald at ann's tangen: One lift size of pinhtics about hrighthess of star. Privated 15 Mickel Consectivity Other light source sciemed to Hell dollar Lenson he 3 of 4 times size of close Diag Silvey dollar Planet. (3 Times size of pinheal) Ex, if nexter, give appearent size to inches on a rular hubber while length. How did you suppun to notion is abjectiff? That happened to glunce at that sky. 21. When were you and what were you doing at the street Driving cat on Dearborn St., Salem (1-2 mi. a Way) ilowald the colourly illerprice from views Proceeded at high a peed toward Boston over income Compare the speed of the objects) with a place or les alreads at the same approvent stillaute. Three Times fast as jet 24. Ware there any conventional directly in the location at the time attendent; afterworded the pions af commun. No One To Two Please astimute the distance of the object(s). Zeems much on this humingly are thaton, What was the abovertion of the object(s) in the axy? . I was une extravers of stime witnesses, it my. Wife + 2 children. Same address. Place draw a map of the lacerty of me communities sharing thering your position, the structure from which the conjuctor, 231 annually and discipleation from day, the singlifies of in surest the south north, which whiteon, relimined, and other hardeners within a miller. I was standing one mile West of New England Power . Station and Viewed the lights first over the station. Lights seemed to be about 200 feet off the ground Light size of pinhead was White Cother larger one Was of a red tinge. Lights seemed to be 100 feet apart. Coast Guard Rescue station to them on althor, military, communication, or imposes to without in the court >23 + New England power station, They applied a comment of the state and described applications the state of the sta Fixua encluse photographs, nutton sixtume, more clippings, number totals or television programs fluctume, more crippings, number of this programs fluctume, more crippings, number of this shore, if possible, remarding this or similar shours these, in any other hamigraphic autorist. We talk return the suspector to make Wars by into - alock by A'r Parce (preselyphys) by compethno to book, thate and the and into by it is a fine a that some and was in this of the expent, allegisters, remoje with a low whom and tener as a marine of some places. If o the year which or high most is revenue to a popular this transference for the contract of the oluborous desolutiv NO The should like preminden he applie your capation acts there with this tops. Into action all teaction, a later of a trace ... a than to moor thollar eleganthera to NICAZ. Speciet, it is a point, the will are not been a fill their if not one your thinks be strucking the compared tempers to the contract product in the contract the contract tempers of the contract temperature tempers of the contract tempers of tempers files. These you for popular compared on

GSW14 1771 W/ 02

and marra (41-41 which are a to

Jarrow deltavolants?

From The Journal of Newcastleupon-Tyne. November 8 edition, we learn how: "Mysterious flying objects have been seen shooting across the sky over Jarrow. A schoolboy and his parents said last night they had spotted several of them circling low over the town.

"They made a roaring noise and looked like silver triangles," said 13-year-old Joseph Hyde, of Albert Road. The were not aeroplanes, because some of my friends telephoned Newcastle airport and were told that no civil aircraft were in the area at the time."

"Some time ago several residents of Inverness Road, Jarrow, reported seeing a strange object like a fireball in the sky. The object, which they christened 'The Thing', was seen on eight occasions. Mothers complained at the time that some of their children had been severely frightened by it.

"Mrs. Maureen Hyde, Joseph's mother said: 'We didn't believe him when he came rushing into the house shouting he had seen something like a flying saucer. We went out to have a look and were amazed to see these silver things darting about the sky.

"My husband, who used to be in the Fleet Air Arm, was convinced they were not aeroplanes." (Credit: Harry Lord, Heaton)

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW Jan-Feb 66

3 Nov 65 England

Sandown sighting

The Lile of Wight Chromele of November 4 printed the following account: "An addition to the reports of strange, unidentified objects seen in the sky from the Sandown area comes from Mr. G. H. Cooper, proprietor of the electrical specialists business in St. John's Road, Sandown.

"While he and his wife were walking along the cliff from the direction of Shanklin at 6.25 p.m. last Wednesday they saw an object, which had a white centre surrounded by a glowing blue ring, very high in the sky over Lake. Making no perceptible noise the object dropped out of clouds hovered a while then turned and cork-screwed at a terrific pace upwards and disappeared into the clouds.

"Mr. Cooper said the blue ring was of a phosphorous nature and utdike any colour he had seen. He was firmly of the opinion that the object could not have been a firework or anything normal."

CHANNEL ISLANDS

That ovalloid again? The following reader's letter appeared in The Evening Post of Jersey (the only newspaper published in the Channel Islands) of November 5: "Dear Sir,-Although I am a visitor to the Island, I would like to bring your readers' attention to the mysterious phenomenon that I observed heading due west at a high speed over the town. This was seen at about 9.20 p.m. on Thursday evening [Nov. 4?], and it appeared as an oval light of a definite shape. This was going at a high speed, but was flying quite low so that it could clearly be seen. Because of its velocity it vanished in a few seconds, but I was able to get a good glimpse. It made no noise, so it could not have been an aircraft, and neither could it have been a star, as the sky was cloudy. I would like to enquire whether anyone else has observed this phenomenon, or whether it has been seen before .--Yours truly, John Woodcock, Hurle Cottage, Lyng, Nr. Lewes, Sussex."

FLYING SAUCER REVIEW Jan-Feb 66

5 Nov 65 England

Cylindrical object near Leicester

The Leicester Mercury of November 6 tells of: "A mysterious object was seen iast night in the sky at Humberstone. Mr. Geoffrey Penfold, 10 The Drive, Scraptoft, saw the object between 10.15 and 10.30 p.m.

"I was visiting a customer in Hungarton Boulevard and while waiting on the doorstep happened to look up at the sky. My auditor was with me and we both saw, moving quite slowly across the sky, a large cylindrical object showing a faint luminosity.

"'It was definitely not a firework.

Nor did it seem to be a meteor or a comet! It's hard to be objective in these circumstances. I can only say that I saw it—in fact we both did.'

"He added: 'It looked rather like an old fashioned airship—if those things still exist'."

The Great American and Mexican Blackouts

By Gordon Creighton

AT approximaely 5.18 p.m. on November 9, an area of 80,000 square miles, extending from Boston to Buffalo and up into the Canadian Province of Ontario, and embracing some 30,000,000 people, experienced a remarkable blackout, which in some areas lasted for as much as 13½ hours (upper New York State) and in the other areas from three to six hours. All our readers will have seen full details in their papers, and I will quote here only a few lines from the six-page account that appeared in TIME Magazine for November 19:

"The first hint that the Northeast's huge CANUSE (Canadian-U.S. Eastern Interconnection) power-grid was in trouble came at 5.16. Moving clockwise, millions of kilowatts of electricity were coursing through the vast network of cables to meet the early-evening needs of the Western Hemisphere's most heavily populated, most power-dependent region. In the humming central control room of the Ontario Hydro-Electric Commission, ink-pens tracing the flow of power suddenly shuddered. At the Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation, on the other side of Lake Ontario, the dials on a wall lurched out of control. A minute later, meters at the mammoth Robert Moses Niagara Power Plant-biggest in the Hemisphere—also went wild. The power output surged from 1,500 megavolts to 2,250, then sank abruptly to zero. Exclaimed one Engineer: 'The needle came clear off the paper! There were more squiggly lines than in an earthquake.

"Giant generators spun uncontrollably out of step, and overfed switches sprang open. At Consolidated Edison's Energy Control Center on West Side, Manhatten, the meter showing the power flowing in from the up-state sources recorded 300,000 kilowatts. At 5.18 the flux reversed, and within seconds 1.5 million kw. were surging northward . . ."

While admitting that not one utility expert could offer an explanation (the London Evening Standard had carried a report to the same effect from New York on November 11), Time concluded that the blackout had been caused by the phenomenon known as "cascading". "When there is a sudden scientists present included Dr. William Brewster, a research physicist at Walter Reed Army Hospital; Andrew Haley, general counsel for the International Astronautical Federation and the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; Dr. F. Regis Riesenman, a prominent psychiatrist; and James Shiner, Assistant to the director of Biotechnology and Human Research in NASA. After the meeting, Andrew Haley remarked that "apart from myself, Jeane Dixon was more knowledgeable about rockets than anyone else in the room".

Because these scientists had been so eager to

drain on the line, power rushes in to make up for the loss, but there is a tendency in such cases for the waves to pile up on each other in wild, evergrowing oscillations that carona through the circuit."

In Britain officialdom promptly assured us that "it couldn't happen here", and so said officialdom in most other parts of the U.S.A., such, for example, as officials of the power company at El Paso, Texas. This being so, let us now note with growing interest that, on the night of December 3, another big blackout occurred, allegedly having its origin in that area. It lasted for two hours, and affected a total of about a million people in parts of western Texas, parts of New Mexico, and also the Mexican frontier town of Juarez. Four or five U.S. Air Force bases in that part of the South-West were affected, one of them being Holloman Air Force Base, which is located near a missile centre and is well known to all students of the UFO problem by reason of the large number of sightings there.

I have a minimal knowledge of electrical matters, and certainly do not claim to know what caused these two blackouts, but it may be wise to assemble here a few scraps and notes which could conceivably help us to arrive at the right answer:

1. According to Time Magazine (November 19), "Some New Yorkers claimed that they had seen a satellite pass over at the moment the lights failed, and argued that the Russians had done it again'."

2. The Italian paper Corriere della Sera of November 15 carried the following report: Syracuse, November 14: "A pilot from Syracuse (State of New York) has declared that he saw an enormous 'ball of fire' rise from the electric power-line linking the town of Clay to Niagara Falls immediately after the sudden stoppage of current last week which plunged vast areas of the USA and Canada into darkness.

High-line patrols went over the line, foot by foot; every piece of apparatus concerned was tested and re-tested, but found in perfect order. The power company were unusually honest and frank and said: 'The only logical assumption is that some object or fineign subsance come across the line and grounded it out. But we have not the faintest clae as to what it was, nor the faintest idea why the power went off, nor why it came on again'."

(Letter from a U.S. correspondent to Harold T. Wilkins, quoted on pages 271/272 of his Flying Saucers on the Moon—Title of U.S. edition: Flying Saucers on the Attack.)

6. To conclude, I refer readers to the vitally

"The pilot, Weldon Ross, a flying instructor, says that, on the evening of Tuesday November 9, he was in the vicinity of Syracuse airport, aboard a small aircraft with one of his pupils, when the lights failed. He said: 'We were flying above the power-line running to Clay from Niagara Falls, when we saw the ball of fire. It lasted for ten seconds."

"Another person has also said that he saw a 'ball of fire' immediately after the lights went off."

3. Time (November 26) has the following letter:

"Sir,—If you look at certain pictures of blackedout New York, you can see very plainly, hovering over the city, a flying saucer. Obviously the power failure (November 9) was only a preliminary to a massive invasion by alien forces bent on destroying the human race. You must be relieved to know it wasn't Russian sabotage at all.

> Harold F. Whitney, Boston."

4. Many readers will know that the American clairvoyante Mrs. Jeane Dixon has been investigated and tested by psychiatrists and students of Parapsychology, and is rated by some of them as ranking with the greatest seers and psychics of all time. (See A Gift of Prophecy, by Ruth Montgomery --- condensed in the Reader's Digest for August, 1965, under the title: The Crystal Ball). It is a matter of record that Mrs. Dixon has been interviewed by very puzzled Defence officials from the Pentagon and the Central Intelligence Agency, anxious to learn the source of the highly secret and classified military and political information that has appeared in her "predictions". She has also been interviewed by the Soviet Ambassador in Washington, who-understandably enough-was badly shaken when she predicted, in 1953, the launching of Russia's first sputnik, and gave the date for it too. This prediction was made two years before any American scientists or politicians even knew that the USSR had a Space-Programme, and four years before the launching actually occurred.

On August 14, 1963, Mrs. Dixon participated in a meeting called by a group of scientists for the purpose of probing her extrasensory gift. The scientists present included Dr. William Brewster, a research physicist at Walter Reed Army Hospital; Andrew Haley, general counsel for the International Astronautical Federation and the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics; Dr. F. Regis Riesenman, a prominent psychiatrist; and James Shiner, Assistant to the director of Biotechnology and Fluman Research in NASA. After the meeting, Andrew Haley remarked that "apart from myself, Jeane Dixon was more knowledgeable about rockets than anyone else in the room".

Because these scientists had been so eager to

know more about future developments in the Space Race, Mrs. Dixon agreed to meditate on rockets that night, and let them know what she saw. After she had gone to bed, she had one of her visions, which came to her in the darkness and lit up the whole bedroom. She says: "I saw a silver ball, like the sputnik, rising out of Russia and going into a powerful missile which travelled round the globe to the left. Suddenly it switched course and travelled in the opposite direction. Beneath it America was all aglow, but as the missile turned, the lights of our country went out, leaving us in utter darkness. I interpreted this to mean that Russia has a secret type of missile for which we have no anti-missile missile. It is so powerful that it can completely immobilise our communications and lighting systems. It can also play havoc with the navigation of our planes".

This vision created consternation in Washington, and the C.I.A. and Pentagon were buzzing around her like bees next day, wanting to know "where she had got it from". Vice-President of the U.S.A., Hubert Humphrey, let a cat out of the bag when he admitted to her: "We have been fearful of just such a development for some time."

5. If we assume, for the moment, that it is a factathat the USSR already has such a device and that this device caused the Great Blackout of November: 9 and possibly also the Texas Blackout of December 3, then how are we to explain the following items: items relating to mysterious events as far back as 1952, more than five years before Russias' first, sputnik.

- (i) "Two hundred pumps in the Coolidge Area, Arizona, were badly damaged when electric motors driving them suddenly and unaccountably changed the direction of their rotation. Electrical engineers who rushed to the region were mystified by the sudden change of polarity in the motors, or of the current feeding them".
- (ii) "In April 1952, a sudden and mysterious blackout of an electric power-line system in northeastern Ohio, followed two days later by an unexplained blackout of a power station at Evanston, Indiana, which lasted two hours. Experts and scientists and police puzzled. In Ohio, the power came on again as suddenly as it went off. High-line patrols went over the line, foot by foot; every piece of apparatus concerned was tested and re-tested, but found in perfect order. The power company were unusually honest and frank and said: 'The only logical assumption is that some object or fureign subsance came across the line and grounded it out. But we have not the faintest clue as to what it was, nor the faintest idea why the power went off, nor why it came on again'."

(Letter from a U.S. correspondent to Harold T. Wilkins, quoted on pages 271/272 of his Flying Saucers on the Moon—Title of U.S. edition: Flying Saucers on the Attack.)

6. To conclude, I refer readers to the vitally

Mr. Raymond E. Fowler NICAP MASS SUBCOM 13 Friend Court, Wenham, Massachusetts

NICAP MASSACHUSETTS INVESTIGATING SUBCOMMITTEE

13 Friend Court Wenham, Massachusetts

December 17, 1965

AFSC - FTD Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, Ohio

Attention: Project Bluebook

Sirs:

Enclosed is a UFO Report for your perusual. One of our investigators has concluded that the object sighted was probably the planet Jupiter and he most likely is correct but since Hanscom AFB received another UFO report in the same general area of this sighting, I thought you might be interested in this one. It would be very interesting if the other sighting reported to Hanscom AFB was of an object similar to the one described in the enclosed report.

Sincerely,

Raymond E. Fowler

Chairman: MICAP MASS SUBCOM

REF/ref

NATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE ON AERIAL PHENOMENA

NOrth 7-9434

1536 Connecticut Avenue N. W. Washington 6, D. C.

REPORT ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT(S)

This form includes questions asked by the United States Air Force and by other Armed Forces' investigating agencies, and additional questions to which answers are needed for full evaluation by NICAP.

After all the information has been fully studied, the conclusion of our Evaluation Panel will be published by NICAP in its regularly issued magazine or in another publication. Please try to answer as many questions as possible. Should you need additional room, please use another sheet of paper. Please print or typewrite. Your assistance is of great value and is genuinely appreciated. Thank you.

1.	Name L /	NDA WILLIAMS	Place of Employment
	Address Co	5 BROATDWAY	Occupation
	Ro	siz-2118	Education Pleasant St. School and Bridge was Special Training Military Service
2.	Date of Obse	ervotion Nov: 13, 1965	Time /1:30 AM PM Time Zone E.S.
3.	Locality of (Observation Norton, Massach	usetts
4.	How long die	d you see the object? aprox. Hours	3 Minutes Seconds
5.			e., bright daylight, nighttime, dusk, etc. There was a mi
6.	Position of the	he Sun or Moon in relation to the object and to	the object bove and to the le
7.	If seen at night, twilight, or down, were the stars or moon visible? The moon		
8.	Ware there	more than one object? NO If so, pla movement, if any.	ness tell how many, and draw a sketch of what you saw, indicating
9.	Please descr atc? Pleas	ibe the object(s) in detail. For Instance, did in use additional sheets of paper, if necessary.	t (they) appear solid, or only as a source of light; was It revolving, The abject appeared on Solid,
10.		act(s) brighter than the background of the sky	
11.	If so, compa	re the brightness with the Sun, Moon, heading	ins, ore. about theas bright as head lights
12.	Did the ob	ject(s) —	(Please elaborate, if you can give details.)
		Annual to stand still at any time?	Vo
	d.	Appear to stand still at any time? Suddenly speed up and rush away of any time.	
1	b.		
	d.	Give off smoke?	
	d.		
	£ .	2	
	9.	Change brightness? NO	
	Ь.	Channa shared	
	1.	Change color?	

13. Did the object(s) at any time pass in front of, or behind of, anything? If so, please alaborate giving distance, size, atc, if possible.

14. Was there any wind? NO If so, please give direction and speed.

15. Did you observe the object(s) through an optical instrument or other aid, windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening, etc? NO What?

16. Did the object(s) have any sound? Yes What kind? humming sound tow loud? very faint

17. Please tell if the object(s) was (were) - abject was sharply out lineal

a. Fuzzy or blurred.

b. Like a bright star.

c. Sharply outlined.



	a. Self-luminous? YES b. Dull finish? NO c. Reflecting? NO
19.	Did the object(s) rise or fall while in motion? YES. It seemed to be coming to with racing and van is hed. Tell the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at armit length:
20.	Tell the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at arm's length:
	a. Pinhoud larger d. Nickel larger g. Orange Larger b. Pea larger e. Halfdollar larger h. Grepefruit yes aprox. c. Dime larger f. Silver dollar Largeri. Larger
	Or, if easier, give apparent size in Inches on a ruler held at arm's length.
21.	How did you happen to notice the object(s)? I was walking in the woods & notice of 1
22.	Where were you and what were you doing at the time? AT CAMP WALKENG TO LIFTCINE
23.	How did the object(s) disappear from view? MUVED toward moon 4 disappear from view? MUVED toward moon 4 disappear from view?
24.	Compare the speed of the object(s) with a piston or jet aircraft at the same apparent altitude. It moved fast fast
25.	Were there any conventional aircreft in the location at the time or immediately afterwards? If so, please elaborate,
26.	Please astimate the distance of the object(s).
27.	What was the elevation of the object(s) in the sky? Please mark on this hemisphere sketch:
23.	Names and addresses of other witnesses, If any. Laurie Guest 89 Jackson Drive, Raynnam, Ma
29.	Please draw a map of the locality of the observation showing North; your position; the direction from which the object(s) appeared and disappeared from view; the direction of its course over the area; roads, towns, villages, railroads, and other landmarks within a mile. MOON
	X us
	X us
30.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area? Yes East Taunton
30.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area? Yes East Taunton
31.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research Installation in the area? Ses East Taunton Airport Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper.
31.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research Installation in the area? Jes East Taunton Airport Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper.
31.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research Installation in the area? Ses East Taunton Airport Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper.
31.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area? Jes East Iaunton Airport Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper. W Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background material. We will return the motarial to you. Yes an color was significant and any other federal, state, county, or local officials? If so, please state the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place.
31. 32. 33.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research Installation in the area? Set East Taunton Airport Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper. Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background meterial. We will return the material to you. Yes an enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background meterial. We will return the material to you. Yes an enclose the first plants of the same biggins of the possibility of the possibility of the programs of the form, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place. Were you asked or told not to reveal or discuss the incident? If so, were any reasons or official orders mentioned? Please elaborate carefully. We should like permission to quate your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to report similar observations to NICAP. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Please note your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential
31. 32. 33.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area? Jes East Iaunton Airport Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper. Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if possible) regarding this or similar observations, or only other background material. We will return the material to your fee and only for the same bight New 1946. Were you interrogated by Air Force investigators? By any other federal, state, county, or local officials? If so, please state the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place. Were you asked or told not to reveal or discuss the incident? If so, were any reasons or official orders mentioned? Please elaborate carefully. We should like permission to quate your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to report similar observations to NICA?. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Please note your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential files. Thank you for your cooperation.

18.

Was the object -

REPORT ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT(5)

This form includes questions asked by the United States Air Force and by other Armed Forces' in	ivestigating agencies, and additional
questions to which answers are needed for full evaluation by NICAP.	

After all the information has been fully studied, the conclusion of our Evaluation Panel will be published by NICAP in its regularly issued magazine or in another publication. Please try to answer as many questions as possible. Should you need additional room, please use another sheet of paper. Please print or typewrite. Your assistance is of great value and is genuinely appreciated. Thank you.

1.	Nome Lauric Guest (age 15)	Place of Employment	
	Address 39 Jack 50 MART	Occupation / Pleasant Street Scrisi	
	~4000m, 11900	Occupation Pleasant Street School Education Bridging Tare Fudinguis Regulation To Special Training	
	Telephone 822-4929	Military Service	
2.	Date of Observation Movember 13,1956	Time AM 1/30 PM Time Zone Fas FCC.	
3.	Locality of Observation Girl Scout Camp Mer	10.11 10021	
4.	How long did you see the object? Hours	Minutes 3 Seconds VCTY Change in the Soliton of the Seconds bright daylight, nighttime, dusk, etc.	
5.			
6.	Position of the Sun or Moon in relation to the object and to y	ou. Moon: north statistic	
7.	If seen at night, twilight, or down, were the stars or moon vi	Isible? Stars 4 moon visite	
8.	Were there more than one object? () If so, place direction of movement, if any.	se tell how many, and draw a sketch of what you saw, indicating	
9. 10.		(they) appear solid, or only as a source of light; was it revolving, f. not revolving indications.	
11.	If so, compare the brightness with the Sun, Moon, headlights, etc Brighter + 10.11 + 10.11		
		(Please elaborare, if you can give details.)	
•	Appear to stand still at any time? NO b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time c. Break p into parts or explode? NO d. Give off smoke? NO e. Leave any visible rell? f. Drop anything? Change brightness? NO Change shape? NO Change color? NO	yes come down and go bec.	
13,	Did the object(s) at any time pass in front of, or behind of, anyth	ing? If so, plaase elaborate giving distance, size, etc, if possible. 🐈 🧻	
14.	Was there any wind? \(\int \) \(\int \) \(\text{if so, please give dire} \)		
15.		or other aid, windshield, windowpane, storm window, screening,	
15,		kind? hom onbuzzion loud? Couling	
17.	Please tell if the object(s) was (were)		
	a. Fuzzy or blurred. 100 b. Like a bright star. 100 c. Sharply autlined.		

4/4.	Color(s)? - green red yellow inte
18.	Was the object —
	Self-luminous? b. Dull finish? () o c. Reflecting? () o d. Transparent? () o
 19.	Did the object(s) rise or fall while in motion? \\06000
20.	Teil the apparent size of the object(s) when compared with the following held at arm's length:
	a. Pinhead 100 d. Nickel 100 g. "Orange 100 b. Pea 100 e. Half dollar 100 h. Grapefruit 25. c. Dime 100 f. Silver dollar 100 i. Larger
	Or, if easier, give apparent size in inches on a ruler held at arm's length.
21.	How did you happen to notice the object(s)? Walking
22,	Where were you and what were you doing at the time? $11000-000$
23.	How did the object(s) diseppear from view? As Will a piston or let aircraft at the same apparent altitude.
24.	Compare the speed of the object(s) with a piston or jet aircraft at the same apparent altitude.
25.	Were there any conventional aircraft in the location at the time or immediately afterwards? If so, please elaborate.
26.	Please estimate the distance of the object(s).
27.	What was the elevation of the object(s) in the sky? Please merk on this hemisphere sketch:
28.	Names and addresses of other witnesses, If any. Linda Williams Brand was Rullan.
29.	Places draw a map of the locality of the observation showing North; your position; the direction from which the object(s) appeared and disappeared from view; the direction of its course over the area; roads, towns, villages, railroads, and other landmarks within a mile
dir.	on its way on its way backing there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area? 125 East Township it is
30.	Is there an airport, military, governmental, or research installation in the area? 125 East Taumisi)
31.	Have you seen other objects of an unidentified nature? If so, please describe these observations, using a separate sheet of paper.
32.	Please enclose photographs, motion pictures, news clippings, notes of radio or television programs (include time, station and date, if passible) regarding this or similar observations, or any other background material. We will return the material to you.
33.	Were you interrogated by Air Force investigators? By any other federal, state, county, or local officials? If so, please states the name and rank or title of the agent, his office, and details as to where and when the questioning took place.
	Were you asked or told not to reveal or discuss the incident? If so, were any reasons or official orders mentioned? Please elaborate carefully.
34.	We should like permission to quote your name in connection with this report. This action will encourage other responsible citizens to report similar observations to NICAP. However, if you prefer, we will keep your name confidential. Plasse nate your choice by checking the proper statement below. In any case, please fill in all parts of the form, for our own confidential files. Thank you for your cooperation.
	You may use my name. (->) Places keep my name confidential. ()
33.	Date of filling out this report: NOV, 23, 1965 Signeture: Gradien French

EVALUATION OF UFO REPORT INVESTIGATION

Date: 29 November 1965

Prom: J. O'Neill

To: R. E. Fowler

Investigation Date: 24 November 1965

Observation Date: 13 November 1965

Observers: Miss Linda Williams

855 Broadway, Raynham, Massachusetts

Miss Laurie Guest

89 Jackson Drive, Raynham, Massachusetts

Place of Observation: Norton Massachusetts (Girl Scout Camp)

A. General Review

This investigator interviewed the principals on the evening of 24 November 1965 from approximately 7:30 p.m. to approximately 8:30 p.m., in the presence of the father of Miss Guest, Mr. Gordon Guest.

Prior to my interview, both girs were in posession of NICAP report forms (short form) and had partially completed same.

It was impractical to individually question the girls, and in view of their prior work on the report forms, I deemed it meaningless to do so.

Questioning revealed that at least one (Miss Guest) had heard one or more of the recent radio broadcasts re. UFO activity, and both had read recent UFO clippings in the Boston papers as well as the complete week-long article in the Boston Herald pertaining to the Hill case.

During the questioning and subsequent discussion, various discrepancies appeared in the answers from each girl, and that in many instances difficulty was met in trying to obtain separate answers, since usually one (Miss Guest) would formulate an answer which was usually seconded by the other.

The most important variances in verbal answers with written answers are as follows:

- 1. Distance: (Written reports not completed)
 Neither girl had the slightest conception of distance. Answers over the questioning period ranged from "a few hundred feet" to "eight to ten miles".
- 2. Size: (Reports: apparent size of grapefruit, etc.)

 Both girls agreed that the object was "smaller than the full moon", "about the size of a helicopter a couple of fields length away" etc. They were startled to learn that a dime will cover a full moon, yet neither could approximate a more reasonable comparison.

- 3. Motion: One girl couldn't describe motion while one (Miss Williams, see report) decided the object moved toward the moon and disappeared.
- 4. Sound: (Report: humming)

 Neither girl could say either when they became aware of noise, or why the noise was identified as emanating from the object. Verbal reports varied between a jet at great height and a fly caught in a window.
- 5. Position: Both agreed that they were facing north and the moon was to their right front (NB) and the moon was above and to the left of the object. One girl sketched the moon directly above the object while the other sketched the moon above and to the right of the object. (See reports)
- B. Related Information
- 1. The father of Miss Guest informed me that although a confirmed non-believer of UFO himself, he was sure that his daughter had been something, and was definitely "slightly upset" by the experience.
- 2. In an attempt to eliminate astronomical bodies, I have considered Jupiter as the only probable "phenomenon", and reduced its position relative to the moon and relative to the position of the moon in the sky at the time and date of the observation. I used Boston as an approximate locale, since I know the latitude and longitude, and the variance between Boston and Raynham is minor.

Ephemeris Apparent Positions: 11/13/65 11:30 p.m.

Moon

R. Ascension 6 hr. 43 min. 6 hr. 02 min.

Declination +260 06 min. + 230

Reduction to local meridian places the moon approximately 45° above the Eastern horizon, and Jupiter approximately to the right and slightly above the moon.

Conclusion: Allowing for the variances noted above regarding size, position, motion, etc., and taking the father's statement into consideration, I have concluded that the girls did in fact see an unknown object, and that it was probably the planet Jupiter.

A combination of the lateness of the hour, the remote and unfamiliar location, lack of knowledge of astronomical phenomena coupled with the recent exposure and content of the Hill case could trigger the imagination to this extent.

J. J. 9 Neill

NICAR

Massachusetts Investigating Subcommittee

MEMO FOR THE RECORD 18 November 1965

Major Nance from Air Force Command Post called to report a balloon that was launched from Washington. Pennsylvania. The balloon will reach a height of 60.000 ft. and follow a Northwest-Southeast course. The balloon is a 20 ft aluminum-type balloon. For additional information, contact Johns Hopkins University at Baltimore, Maryland, Astro Physics Department.

Major Nance received the call at 1500.

CLISE SIGHTING IN PENNSYLVANIA: On the evening of November 27th, two teen-aged boys in Confluence, Pennsylvania, saw a luminous digar-shaped draft at very low altitude over the city. It seemed to be about ten feet in diameter, and no higher than a thousand feet in altitude. Its most astonishing feature was the way it moved with a zigzag motion, unlike a normal aircraft. Both boys stated that although the ship was traveling at high speed in an irregular path, its outline was very distinct. Then, suddenly, it came to a complete stop, turned on its end, and shot upward at incredible speed. It disappeared from sight "in no time at all," according to the report. (Somerset, Pa. Daily-American, 11/29/65.)

Information Coly Source: Saucer News, Vol 13, #1, Mar 66

Argentina 24 Nov 65

endangering the relatively lightly-traveled South American air lanes, occurred on the 24th of November. Caotain Longo of the Argentine National Airline was coming in for a landing at Resistencia, en route from Rio de Janeiro, at about 9:45 p.m., when a brightly-lit UFO flew at him on a collision course. Longo made a "rapid maneuver" to change course, while the mysterious object headed upwards at a 45 degree angle and disappeared tapidly from view. The pilot declared later that the object missed his plane by only about 100 yards. The same UFO was observed visually by personnel at the airport's control tower.

1/16 (inc . 12) " hely 28 Nov 65 rice Sept 67

MORE DATA ON ADDITIONAL U.F.O. REPORT

A path of lights were seen in November 28, 1965, at 2:00 A.M. Wittnessed by mother, and sister. Were observed in telescope 50 power. Were flying north at approx. 600 mph. Three sizes were observed in same formation. The biggest size was like Venus. The smallest could only be seen thru telescope. Appeared like disk in telescope. Approx. altitude, over 50,000 feet. Biggest light was white, next size, orange, smallest, red.

Were controlled. At times stopped, and spread out over sky, then got back in formation, and began moving north again. Sighting lasted about 15 minutas.

Condition of weather was good. Cool, about 55 degrees. Scattered clouds. Dry. Humidity was very low. Barometer was about 30.20 inches of mercury and holding steady.

These objects were craft. Were seen thru telescope. Did flying stunts. Observed by three people. My whole family, and myself will take a lie detector test anytime. If you wish more information, let me know.

Saw same thing week later, but only 18 objects. Have not seen it since. Drawing below will show formation. いけると OPEN FORMATION Miler Circlens 50C ALS1 1 APRIL 67 WIFF FIRMATICAL 30 on more, but wante much of all words Morrie and with him to the transity was were



NEWS RELEASE

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

WALLOPS STATION. WALLOPS ISLAND, VIRGINIA 23337 TELEPHONE: VALLEY 4-3411 - EXTS. 584 and 579

FOR RELEASE: June 21, 1967

Release No. 67-24

133

ROCKET EXPERIMENT TIMED

WITH TWO SATELLITE PASSES AT WALLOPS

A rocket experiment coordinated with overpasses of two satellites was launched by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration at Wallops Island, Va., at 3:02 p.m. EDT today.

An ionosphere experiment prepared jointly by the Southwest Center for Advanced Studies, Dallas, Texas, and NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Md., was launched on a 4-stage Javelin rocket. The launch was coordinated with overpasses of the Canadian satellite, Alouette II, and the U. S. satellite, Explorer XXXI, launched "piggyback" into polar orbits by a Thor-Agena B from the Western Test Range in California November 29, 1965. The Javelin probe was sent up between the two satellite passes.

Primary objective of the flight was to measure properties of the ionosphere--ion composition, concentration and temperature and electron concentration and temperature--and to correlate the

results with simultaneous measurements made by the two satellites. The ionosphere is a region of charged particles in the upper atmosphere.

The launch vehicle was a 48-foot Javelin (ARGO D-4) sounding rocket. The 120-pound payload was boosted to a peak altitude of 493 statute miles. Data were telemetered to ground stations during the flight. No recovery operation was involved.

Project Scientist is Thomas W. Flowerday of the Southwest Center for Advanced Studies. R. G. Plihal was the Goddard Vehicle Manager. William L. Lord was Project Engineer for Walleps Station, with Paul A. White serving as Launch Pad Supervisor.

Declare Declary 5.4 Concinnation, che

DECEMBER 1965 SIGHTINGS

DATE	LOCATION	OBSERVER	EVALUATION
Dec	'LaCrosse, Wisconsin		Insufficient Data
1-15	Houston, Texas Area (Ellington	AFB (
l l	*Caracas, Verezuela		Satellite
∵ ः 1	Worwalk, Ohio		Astro (VENUS)
. 2	'Santiago, Chile	Chilean Rocket Society	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	Santa Susana, California	The state of the s	Astro (VENUS)
4.	Aberdeen, South Dakota		Astro (STARS/PLANETS)
6	Cutoff, Louisiana		Balloon
7	*Evanston, Illinois		Aircraft
知 了	'Lanham, Maryland		Balloon
7	1 Cak Grove, Oregon		Astro (VENUS)
- 7.	Rocksway, Oregon		Insufficient Data
8.	Tangent, Oregon		Insufficient Data
. 9	* waterford, Pennsylvania		Astro (MOON)
-10	Ind, Ohio, Pa, Mich, Canada		Astro (METEOR)
1 '11	Yandalia, Ohio		Aircraft
11	Albany, Oregon		Satellite
11	. Hawaii, Island	Aloha Airlines	Aircraft
. 11	-Caracas, Venezuela		Insufficient Data
12	Eansing, Michigan.		Aircraft
15	Dayton, Ohio		Astro (STARS/PLANETS)
15	2 Oregon Area	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	Other (MISSILE)
15	-Luke AFB, Arizona	The state of the s	Other (SATELLITE DECATE
16	'45.14N 172E (Pacific)	NW Airlines:	Astro (METEOR)
16	Alaska & Pacific		Astro (METEOR)
17	Sabina, Ohio		Aircraft
17	Whittier, California		Insufficient Data
20	Lacomb, Oregon		Aircraft
- 21	55.37N 171.25E (Pacific)		Astro (METEOR)
21	Putnam, Connecticut		Aircraft
· 27	House, Louisiana		Astro (VENUS)
28	Beavercreek, Ohio		Astro-(VENUS)
28	Newark, Ohio		Astro (VEGA)
29	41-11N 11.31E (Atlantic)	A see life tarve	Sartellites
	The state of the s	and the state of t	
Service and	ADDITIONAL REPO	RIED SIGHTINGS (NOT CASES)	
7 44		-	•
-			

DATE	LOCATION
14	Southampton, England
4	Tucuzan, Argentina
9	Burbank, California
10	Lake Weir, Florida
10	Sazzreth & Hanover Township, Pa.
- 13	Peru to Tahiti
25	Danville, Virginia "

SOURCE

Flying Saucer Review Saucer News

Saucer News

EVALUATION

Dear Bri:

sing name is come in the flying sources or 20.74.0. Sing ey the anti- gravity force field on; the crystial property of the flying sources refines all the electro-magnetic and con-personal energies into varying frequenties and wave lengths.

But is and 16 years old on have been Tudying The u. ye. o. field for more than there years now and hope its make a correct out of it.


i was in day and, henticky winting, and at 7:30 a. M. i was bloking in the sky with my 252 mm thesayse and sin what is can was no atan, plant, count, balloon, not anything but a wintinglanting opened inheals controlled intelled and two objects shoped the same way the same speed, came might they were 30 to 35 feet in dameter, traveling from east to west winder they were 30 to the west with a dameter. Traveling from east to west winder they washed sout other they

stiped for about 15 seconds. They were to my estimate. They we we about 5,000 festion the say.

B in the objects is observed distributed and sound, or gas vapor behind them as a coinglant does, Sin the object, we are chen ging colors from red, green to yellowous, to, white and blue.

sin what his cow russ no onory air conft leaune they zig, zaded, and stoped in mind cen.

Sin if you have an shotis, on information on 21.71.0.3 or flying rawers is sure would apprend it.



i sow in november of 1965 at 1:30 in The night.

thank you.

4/13/66

This to the space craft I beens

antino Dome

Descender de

nov. 65 Feb to 1- Enly DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE WASHINGTON March 18, 1966 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY Dear Attached is FTD Form 164 which is used for reporting observations of unidentified flying objects to the Air Force. In order for the Air Force to evaluate these reports, this form should be completed as fully as possible and returned to this office. Sincerely, SARA HUNT Community Relations Division Office of Information 1 Atch FTD Form 164 Palo Alta, Calif, 94303 is. Due to late late of report a companhamie evolute is imposible at the time. The care in lains chamified in insufficient class. for wateration.

Dear sir,

I read in Look Magazine that
There is something called st. Elms is
fine, & I was wondering of that o
what my friend & I saw last
November (about them).

about 5:30, we saw these 3 at about 5:30, we saw these 3 lights in the sky. They worked when headlighted on a can look from a distance. One went out, then the other went out. Later that evening, when it was dark, I seem they went out. I again they went out. I again they went out. Was it St. Simos they went out. Was it St. Simos they went out. Was it St. Simos they went out. Was it St. Simos.

They were just lights. I know you much have found of mail like this to answer; but

I hope you can find time to

Thank yoru,

Palo A1to, Paly 94303